How to trade large block of shares?

Introduction to optimal execution strategies

AGH, Conference on Financial Mathematics- April 5, 2019

Prepared by: Przemysław Rola, IMR



Introduction

- We consider the problem of unwinding a single-stock portfolio with position $q_0 > 0$ over the time interval [0, T].
- The trader's position is modeled by the process $(q_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ with the dynamics

$$dq_t = v_t dt$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ satisfies the unwinding constraint $\int_0^T v_t dt = -q_0$.

Permanent vs instantaneous (temporary) market impact

It is customary to decompose market impact costs of a trade into its permanent and temporary constituent parts



Normal dynamics vs. log-normal dynamics

We denote by \mathcal{A} the set of admissible controls **v**.

The mid-price of the stock is modeled by the process $(S_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, where $S_t = S_t^{\text{mid}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (S_t^{\text{bid}} + S_t^{\text{ask}})$

$$dS_t = \sigma dW_t + kv_t dt$$

 σ - the arithmetic volatility

 $k \ge 0$ - the magnitude of the permanent market impact

Execution costs & cash account process

• Let introduce the market volume process $(V_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, which represents the velocity of the volume traded by other agents (it does not take into account our own trades). We assume that it is a deterministic, continuous, positive, and bounded process.

• The price obtained by the trader for each share at time *t* is of the form $S_t + g\left(\frac{v_t}{V_t}\right)$, where *g* is an increasing function satisfying g(0) = 0. It models the instantaneous market impact.

• $L(\rho) = \rho g(\rho)$ - execution cost function

• We denote by $(X_t)_t$ the cash account process modeling the amount of cash on the trader's account. It's dynamics is given by

$$dX_t = -v_t \left(S_t + g\left(\frac{v_t}{V_t}\right)\right) dt = -v_t S_t dt - V_t L\left(\frac{v_t}{V_t}\right) dt$$

Assumptions on the function $L \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

The assumptions on the function $L \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are the following:

- No fixed cost, i.e., L(0) = 0,
- *L* is strictly convex, increasing on \mathbb{R}_+ and decreasing on \mathbb{R}_- ,
- *L* is asymptotically super-linear, i.e., $\lim_{|\rho|\to\infty} \frac{L(\rho)}{|\rho|} = +\infty$.

In practical examples

$$L(\rho) = |\rho|^{1+\phi}$$
 or $L(\rho) = |\rho|^{1+\phi} + \psi|\rho|$

where the additional term $\psi|\rho|$ models proportional costs such as the bid-ask spread.

The initial A-Ch models correspond to a quadratic function $L(\rho) = \eta \rho^2$.

Why should permanent market impact be linear?

Let assume that the permanent market impact is modeled by the function $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$. The dynamics of (q_t, S_t, X_t) is

$$\begin{cases} dq_t = v_t dt \\ dS_t = \sigma dW_t + \mathcal{I}(v_t) dt \\ dX_t = -v_t S_t dt \end{cases}$$

There is a dynamic arbitrage if there exist $t_1 < t_2$, and a process $(v_t)_t$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v_t dt = 0$,
- $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t_2}|\mathcal{F}_{t_1}\right] > X_{t_1}$.

In other words, a dynamic arbitrage corresponds to a round trip strategy on the stock that is profitable on average.

Linear permanent market impact guarantees no dynamic arbitrage.

• There is no dynamic arbitrage iff $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is a linear function.

We choose $\mathcal{I}(v) = kv$ with $k \ge 0$.

• The permanent component of market impact can also depend on the number of shares already traded. For instance, a model where the price dynamics is

$$dS_t = \sigma dW_t + f(|q_0 - q_t|) v_t dt$$

with *f* a positive function (usually decreasing), does not lead to any dynamic arbitrage.

Optimization problem

- Our goal is to find an optimal strategy $(v_t)_t \in A$ to liquidate the portfolio.
- Mean-variance criterion: maximize $\mathbb{E}[X_T] \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{V}[X_T]$

• We consider an expected utility criterion. The utility function we consider is a CARA (Constant Absolute Risk Aversion) utility function, that is, an exponential utility function.

- Our objective function is of the form $\mathbb{E}\left[-\exp\left(-\gamma X_{T}\right)\right]$
- γ absolute risk aversion coefficient

Deterministic strategies

• We restrict liquidation strategies to deterministic ones $\mathcal{A}_{det} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

$$dS_t = \sigma dW_t + kv_t dt$$
$$dX_t = -v_t S_t dt - V_t L\left(\frac{v_t}{V_t}\right) dt$$

• The final value of the cash account process is given by

$$X_{T} = X_{0} - \int_{0}^{T} v_{t} S_{t} dt - \int_{0}^{T} V_{t} L\left(\frac{v_{t}}{V_{t}}\right) dt$$

$$= X_{0} + q_{0} S_{0} + \int_{0}^{T} k v_{t} q_{t} dt + \sigma \int_{0}^{T} q_{t} dW_{t} - \int_{0}^{T} V_{t} L\left(\frac{v_{t}}{V_{t}}\right) dt$$

$$= X_{0} + q_{0} S_{0} - \frac{k}{2} q_{0}^{2} + \sigma \int_{0}^{T} q_{t} dW_{t} - \int_{0}^{T} V_{t} L\left(\frac{v_{t}}{V_{t}}\right) dt$$

Deterministic strategies

$$X_T = X_0 + q_0 S_0 - \frac{k}{2} q_0^2 + \sigma \int_0^T q_t dW_t - \int_0^T V_t L\left(\frac{V_t}{V_t}\right) dt$$

If $(v_t)_{t \in [0,T]} \in A_{det}$, then X_T is normally distributed with mean

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{T}\right] = X_{0} + q_{0}S_{0} - \frac{k}{2}q_{0}^{2} - \int_{0}^{T}V_{t}L\left(\frac{v_{t}}{V_{t}}\right)dt,$$

and variance

$$\mathbb{V}\left[X_{T}\right] = \sigma^{2} \int_{0}^{T} q_{t}^{2} dt.$$

The mean of X_T can be decomposed into three parts:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \underbrace{X_0 + q_0 S_0}_{\text{MtM value}} - \underbrace{\frac{k}{2} q_0^2}_{\text{perm. m. i.}} - \underbrace{\int_0^T V_t L\left(\frac{V_t}{V_t}\right) dt}_{\text{execution costs}}$$

Moment-generating function - two sided Laplace transform of density

Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[-\exp\left(-\gamma X\right)\right] = -\exp\left(-\gamma \mu + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 \sigma^2\right)$$

Using moment-generating function of a Gaussian variable, we can compute the value of the objective function:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[-\exp\left(-\gamma X_{T}\right)\right] = -\exp\left(-\gamma \mathbb{E}\left[X_{T}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{2}\mathbb{V}\left[X_{T}\right]\right)$$
$$= -\exp\left(-\gamma \left(X_{0} + q_{0}S_{0} - \frac{k}{2}q_{0}^{2}\right)\right)$$
$$\times \exp\left(\gamma \left(\int_{0}^{T} V_{t}L\left(\frac{V_{t}}{V_{t}}\right) dt + \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma^{2}\int_{0}^{T} q_{t}^{2}dt\right)\right).$$

Optimisation problem

As a consequence the problem boils down to finding a control process $(v_t)_{t \in [0,T]} \in \mathcal{A}_{det}$ minimizing

$$\int_0^T V_t L\left(\frac{V_t}{V_t}\right) dt + \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma^2 \int_0^T q_t^2 dt.$$

Because $v_t = \frac{dq_t}{dt}$, the problem boils down to a variational problem (Bolza problem). We need to find minimizers of the functional *J* defined by

$$J(q) = \int_0^T \left(V_t L\left(\frac{q'(t)}{V_t}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 q(t)^2 \right) dt$$

over the set of absolutely continuous functions q satisfying the constraints $q(0) = q_0$ and q(T) = 0. (There exists a unique minimizer q^* -nonincreasing.)

Characterisation of the optimal strategy

To characterize the optimal strategy q^* we can use an Euler-Lagrange characterization.

$$F(q) = \int_{a}^{b} f\left(t, q(t), q'(t)\right) dt, \qquad f(\cdot) = f(t, x, v)$$
$$f_{x}\left(t, q(t), q'(t)\right) = \frac{d}{dt}f_{v}\left(t, q(t), q'(t)\right)$$

If *L* is differentiable then the Euler-Lagrange equation reduces to

$$egin{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} eta'(t) &=& \gamma\sigma^2 q^*(t)\ p(t) &=& L'\left(rac{q^{*'}(t)}{V_t}
ight)\ q^*(0) &=& q_0\ q^*(T) &=& 0. \end{split}$$

Legendre Fenchel transform

Let *H* be the Legendre-Fenchel transform of the function *L* defined by

$$m{H}(m{p}) = \sup_{
ho} \left(
hom{p} - m{L}(
ho)
ight).$$

Because L is strictly convex, H is a function of class C^1 .

We know that $p(t) = L'\left(\frac{q^{*'}(t)}{V_t}\right)$ and the L-F transform can be specified by the condition

$$H'=(L')^{-1}$$

Then we get a characterization of the E-L system by the Hamiltonian system:

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} p'(t) &= & \gamma \sigma^2 q^*(t) \ q^{*'}(t) &= & V(t) H'(p(t)) \ q^*(0) &= & q_0 \ q^*(T) &= & 0 \end{aligned}
ight.$$

The case of quadratic execution costs

In general the solution is given by: $p''(t) = \gamma \sigma^2 V_t H'(p(t))$ We can prove that in our model the optimal deterministic strategy is the best in class of all (deterministic/stochastic) admissible controls. (see *Guéant*'s book) Let us take $L(\rho) = \eta \rho^2$. Using the characterisation $H' = (L')^{-1}$, the associated Hamiltonian function is $H(p) = \frac{p^2}{4n}$.

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} p'(t) &= & \gamma \sigma^2 q^*(t), \ q^{*'}(t) &= & V_t H'(p) = rac{V_t}{2\eta} p(t), \ q^*(0) &= & q_0, \ q^*(T) &= & 0. \end{aligned}
ight.$$

Consequently, q^* is the unique solution of the equation

$$\boldsymbol{q}^{*''}(t) = \frac{\gamma \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{V}_t}{2\eta} \boldsymbol{q}^*(t),$$

satisfying the boundary conditions $q^*(0) = q_0$ and $q^*(T) = 0$.

If $(V_t)_t$ is assumed to be constant (i.e. $V_t = V$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$), then we get the classical hyperbolic sine formula of Almgren and Chriss:

$$q^*(t) = q_0 rac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{rac{\gamma\sigma^2 V}{2\eta}}(T-t)
ight)}{\sinh\left(\sqrt{rac{\gamma\sigma^2 V}{2\eta}}T
ight)}$$

Associated to this optimal trading curve, the optimal (deterministic) strategy $(v_t^*)_t$ is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_t^* = \mathbf{q}^{*'}(t) = -\mathbf{q}_0 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}}{2\eta}} \frac{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}}{2\eta}}(\mathbf{T} - t)\right)}{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}}{2\eta}}\mathbf{T}\right)}.$$

Optimal trading curves for different γ parameters

 $S_0 = 45 €, \sigma = 0.6 \cdot \text{day}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \text{share}^{-1}$ (annual volatility 21%), $q_0 = 200,000$ shares V = 4,000,000 shares $\cdot \text{day}^{-1}, \eta = 0.1 € \cdot \text{share}^{-1}, T = 1$ (day)



Normalised average volume for BNP Paribas aggregated in 5 min bins



Constant vs quadratic $V_t = V \cdot f(t)$



PUBLIC

Constant vs quadratic V_t



 $q_0 = 200,000, V = 4,000,000, \sigma = 0.6, \eta = 0.1, \gamma = 10^{-6}, b = 2.9, T = 1$

Constant vs quadratic V_t



 $q_0 = 200,000, V = 40,000,000, \sigma = 0.6, \eta = 0.1, \gamma = 10^{-6}, b = 2.999, T = 1$

Extensions of the Almgren-Chriss framework

- Optimisation of different benchmark orders, e.g. optimal participation rate for POV, target close algo,
- Different dynamics, e.g. $dS_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t + kv_t dt$ with μ_t, σ_t -deterministic,
- Model with constraints, e.g. minimal participation rate,
- Portfolio liquidation,
- Different liquidity costs $L(\rho) = |\rho|^{1+\phi} + \psi |\rho|$.

Bibliography

[1] Olivier GUÉANT, The Financial Mathematics of Market Liquidity, 2016.

Bibliography

[1] Olivier GUÉANT, The Financial Mathematics of Market Liquidity, 2016.

Q & A

